

Welcome to Shinogen's War. Today, I would like to welcome Mr. David Hughes. David is one of Hugh's academics who questioned the mainstream narrative following the attack that triggered the war on terror. That gave him a crucial advantage when a COVID emergency was declared. He wrote the book called COVID-19, Psychological Operation and the War of Technocracy.

0:30

We would like to welcome Mr. David Hughes today.

0:34

Well, thank you very much. And it's a great pleasure to be with you and to have the opportunity to address a Japanese audience.

0:40

Thank you so much. I was really shocked to see your video about the Omniwar and really amazing work.

0:48

Well, thank you. Yeah, it was a big project. And it really came about as a result of chatting in an interview with Catherine Austin Fitz following the publication of my COVID book. And Omniwar is a concept that I coined in relation to the COVID era. So it's my contention that an undeclared Omniwar concept

1:15

began in 2020 and I used this symposium that we did in September 2024 as an opportunity to really build and expand on that concept so we brought in several other thinkers including Patrick Wood And my co-authors, Daniel Brody and Alyssa Johnson, and really tried to kind of get to see the bigger picture of what's been taking

1:43

place since 2024, really kind of zooming out. And in addition, I've since followed up with a report for the Soleri report, which is also called Omniwar. It's about 22 and a half thousand words long. And that really is the kind of written expansion of what we did in the symposium.

2:04

Wow, thank you. And I believe I watched your video and I believe your study really came from your experience in Germany during your academic age. Could you tell us a little bit of your background about your academic?

2:20

Yeah, I certainly could. So I read philosophy and modern languages at Oxford in the late 90s. And having got my undergraduate degree, I then stayed on Oxford for another year to do a master's degree in European literature. And from there, I went on to the United States and did a PhD in German studies at Duke University.

2:44

And I got that PhD in 2006. So it was some time ago. And I never really thought that I would find much use for the PhD again. I did not go into an academic career in German studies. I instead retrained in international relations. And so I was really quite shocked. in 2020 as I watched events unfold.

3:10

And to me, as someone who has spent years studying the Nazi past, although it wasn't the primary focus of my research at the time, but you do have to have a pretty sound knowledge of the Nazi past if you're writing about anything related to post-1945 German history. I was shocked to watch events unfold

3:35

and identify many parallels between what was taking place in the West and more broadly transnationally since 2020, but in relation to what took place in 1930s Nazi Germany. So from the emergency legislation to the military-grade propaganda to the vaccine passports, which have much in common with the health passes in Nazi Germany,

4:05

to attacks on the working and middle classes, so many continuities. And I was a little disappointed

at the time to hear various commentators on the alternative circuit saying, well, And it's offensive to draw such comparisons. Of course, it's nothing like Nazi Germany. Don't you know we live in liberal democracies? It's nothing like that.

4:30

And I thought, well, you really don't know your history well enough in that case. And it's very interesting when you go back and you look at the way that the Third Reich steadily unfolded. The worst of it did not begin in 1933. The worst of it began about eight years later in 1941 with the war of extermination,

4:53

the war crimes, the Holocaust, the medical experimentation and all of the things that the Nazi regime is infamous for. But of course, Hitler came to power eight years earlier. And as many scholars have argued, there was a gradual process laid down over those eight years which paved the way for the later horrors.

5:13

And so my argument is that we are currently in a similar kind of phase of paving the way for worse to come. So just to give one example... This morning on the radio, I heard something about the MAID euthanasia programme in Canada and similar

5:35

measures now being unrolled here in the UK in terms of the assisted dying programme. And of course, you can make arguments for and against assisted dying. But the blunt fact is, this is also the kind of thing that was happening in Nazi Germany in the 1930s, trying to normalise the practice of euthanasia. Where did it lead?

5:56

Eventually, it led to genocide. So we have to know our history. We have to be able to see that... What is unfolding here is not a random series of accidents and chance events. What is unfolding is a quite deliberate attempt to instigate a novel form of totalitarianism,

6:16

which this time will be global and this time will be biodigital. It won't be national. It won't be ethnocentric. And it's what I call or what Patrick Wood has called technocracy. This is a new a new mode of governance, which is antithetical to liberal democracy. And it's all about the scientific management of society. But ultimately,

6:41

we should be under no illusion that we are currently on a totalitarian trajectory and that this is not accidental. It's by design. I totally agree.

6:52

When we look at the history, there was a paperclip operation occurred at the Nazi. And I think all the scientists moved to the United States to continue, I think, hiddenly continue their project. And I mentioned that the COVID-19 was a military operation and a psychological war. And what do you mean by that?

7:13

Well, when you look at what took place, the scientific evidence in terms of epidemiological data, for example, does not support the idea that there was a genuine pandemic. So I get into this particularly in chapter six of the book. I reject the idea that this was fundamentally a healthcare crisis.

7:41

Instead, it was a psychological operation that I and others believe was driven by the military. And in my opinion, as I argue in the COVID book, the purpose of that psychological operation was to weaken the public transnationally ahead of the wider war for technocracy. So if you are trying to reshape politics, economics, society,

8:12

the whole of human life essentially on a global scale, and this is what the so-called Great Reset is attempting to do, You cannot achieve social change on that scale and in that depth without war, indeed without world war. And this is what the previous world wars demonstrated in terms of their

outcomes.

8:38

And I think that the social engineers have looked at this and have understood this and have now, as of now, 11th of March 2020 with the WHO pandemic declaration, essentially declared, or not declared, essentially initiated an undeclared omni-war against the rest of humanity in a bid to gradually... dismantle liberal democracy, the fiat currency system,

9:07

the war on terror paradigm, and all of the other control mechanisms, which have for decades been successful in keeping the population in check. And now they want to institute this novel form of biodigital totalitarianism, which is technocracy. So yes, it does require war. And when you look back historically, psychological warfare is typically the prelude

9:31

to worse to come physical warfare and much more brutal measures so when you think about the effects of what took place in 2020 how demoralizing and debilitating and disorientating that was for the population how all of us in different ways were made to suffer

9:54

I think that this is all by design and it's to create a weaker population that is less able to resist this intended transition to technocracy.

10:05

Wow, it's amazing. And when I discovered about this vaccination, I learned that this includes graphene oxide, which is magnetic, connected with 5G technology. And what do you think about that? People like you have a very high level of academic background. What do you think about this theory?

10:30

Yeah, so the graphene oxide thing is interesting. I mean, that idea was around quite prominently in kind of 2021, 2022. There were some spectroscopic studies and analyses done which claimed to have detected the presence of graphene oxide. For me, the jury is out on that specifically because my understanding is that in spectroscopy,

10:59

I don't believe it detects hydrogen, which is one of the three key elements that form graphene oxide. So I'm not sure that you can actually prove that through spectroscopic means. However, a lot of the work that I've been doing since 2022, and particularly this year, in my co-authored research on transhumanism,

11:25

involves looking both at the microscopic and spectroscopic evidence of what was in the so-called COVID-19 vaccines and what was in patients' blood shortly after vaccination on the one hand. And on the other hand, looking at military intelligence literature, literature from the electronic communications world, and indeed medical literature relating to the so-called internet of bio-nano things.

12:00

And when you put all of this hard evidence together, you see that there is in fact a credible case to be made that for the last 20 years, the powers that be have been deliberately seeking to develop what they envisaged in

12:19

2001 as they called it the bio nano era and what this meant was an era in which human bodies would essentially be connected to the internet through intracorporeal nanonetworks that go inside the body and are capable of communicating with an external source. Now, this was just an idea back at the turn of the millennium,

12:49

but a seminal NASA document from 2001, a PowerPoint presentation by Dennis Bushnell, was clearly laying out a roadmap that involved certain dates like 2020, 2025, 2030. And the IT BioNano era in that document was slated to begin in 2020. Very, very interesting. There's a similar document

that was produced by a consortium of bodies

13:20

internationally in 2008 called the Proteus document. And the Proteus document envisaged an era of rolling pandemics that would culminate in the year 2020 with these new technologies being rolled out. So this is all very interesting when you put it together because it appears that

13:43

that timeline has indeed been followed and that these ideas from the early part of the 21st century became technologically feasible during the 2010s. And there is every possibility now that this technology may in fact exist, either be in the process of being rolled out or have largely already been rolled out through the global vaccination campaign, so-called,

14:11

of 2021. So this is quite terrifying stuff. Now, of course, for most people, it's the realm of sci-fi. It's not believable. It's too far beyond their kind of understanding of the world to be credible, and they reject it just off the bat. However,

14:34

what I and my co-authors have been trying to do is to demonstrate that there is, in fact, extensive literature around all of this, and particularly in the medical sphere, when looking at the Internet of bio nano things. This is a concept which became popularized in 2015 by Professor Ian Akiildiz. He's the key name here.

14:57

Evidently a national security insider. He's worked with all of the major bodies from NASA and DARPA and the CIA and so on. And again, the fundamental idea is that if you can create intercorporeal nanonetworks, then in theory, these could be used for good. They could be used, for example,

15:19

to provide health care services that don't involve intrusive surgery. The claims are made that this could be used to help create neural networks, which could help with treating Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease. So in principle, there's a great deal of good that could be done with this technology. The problem is it doesn't originate in a healthcare setting.

15:45

It originates in a military setting and in a social control setting. And when you consider it's possible use as a dual use technology and you ask what else it could be used for, then the possibilities become quite frightening because if you can connect everybody to the internet, essentially to a technocratic control grid,

16:08

then you can monitor where everybody is at all times. You can monitor them all the way down to the level of their organs. And because it's a two-way network, not only can you be surveilling them, but potentially you could interfere with their own bodily processes. And again,

16:28

this in principle has potential to be used to cause pain and suffering, even to torture people, and even potentially for remote control assassination purposes. And so we have to be quite... frank here when we're looking at what this kind of technology could potentially do

16:47

now in a dystopian world where that becomes a reality and bear in mind that elon musk's starlink project and others like it are in the process of trying to cover the entire earth with emf so there is no escape So that even if you go and move to a rural area with no one around you,

17:10

you will still be on part of this grid. Now, again, it sounds like sci-fi, but it's provable that the technologies for bringing this into existence not only have been developed, but are in the process in the case of Starlink, for example, of implementation. Yeah.

17:29

So imagine a world then where we can't escape from the EMF and in which the nanotechnology

necessary to connect human bodies to that network is inside everybody. So you have to ask, what was the true purpose of the injection campaign in 2021? Because it demonstrably was not about healthcare. There was no sound rationale, moral or scientific,

17:56

for trying to inject as many people as possible. Even if you were to say, yeah, it is about health care, you know, maybe we should inject the elderly. I mean, I reject that contention anyway. But even then, there is no need to systematically work the way down the age groups to the point of trying to inject children.

18:12

So clearly there was a reason to try and inject as many people as possible. And that has to do with the military context. This is a very difficult picture to comprehend and to accept. And it's the reason really why we did the Omniwar Symposium to try and convey to a wider audience that this is not only possible,

18:35

it's also potentially happening.

18:37

I totally agree, and your theory proves a lot. And when you talk about this speed development, about the vaccine, Donald Trump, Mr. President Trump, did the warp speed, operation warp speed. And also the Elon now become a doge, which is Department of Efficiency. What do you think about this new president and Elon Musk doing right now?

19:05

Well, I don't trust either of them. I mean, I took very little interest, actually, in the US election. I personally don't think it matters who the president is. I don't think it matters who won the election. The point is that behind the scenes, what Edward Bernays in 1928 called the invisible government,

19:31

In fact, New York Mayor John Hyland used that term in 1912. This has been around for a very long time. The invisible government, the government that you don't see, that's really pulling the strings, continues its operations regardless. Now, what we're looking at today is a transnational deep state, which appears to be controlling the politics of all countries,

19:54

certainly in the West and Western allies. And the agendas are technocratic. So, OK, Trump has come to power. But of course, he has very close connections to Peter Thiel, the head of Palantir, which is one of the major tech companies, which has partnered with the intelligence agencies, whose role involves gathering as much data on everybody as possible,

20:24

and then being able to analyze kind of billions and billions of terabytes of information. And of course, information is power. If you know all of this information about everybody, think what you can do with that. It fits perfectly with the technocratic idea of being able to surveil and monitor everyone and everything. So you then mention Elon Musk.

20:52

Well, it's known that Elon Musk's grandfather, I think it was, was a leading figure in the technocracy movement in Canada many decades ago. And so Musk is obviously on board with this. And when you look at, I've already mentioned Starlink, but if you think about his Neuralink project, Again, what is that?

21:15

An attempt to connect human bodies, you see. I think, in a sense, his role is as a salesman to try and promote some of these ideas and render them feasible, if not desirable, in the eyes of the public, to introduce the public to the idea that these kind of things could be possible.

21:37

so that the public is eventually more willing to accept them. So I guess in a nutshell, I don't see this as being a major transition, this US election. I don't see it as being of any great significance at all when you see the bigger picture, which is that the global technocratic agendas continue to unfold.

22:00

And of course, Trump has been portrayed as this kind of saviour figure. And there are tens, hundreds of millions of US citizens who really place their faith in him and really think that he's going to stick it to the globalists and challenge the system and so on.

22:18

Well, I mean, that in itself to me seems like a psychological operation. And again, these kinds of things are known from history. You can go back to the Soviet Union, in fact, and see similar kind of ideas around disinformation in particular in play.

22:40

But the idea is that if you can get the section of the population who might have doubts, who might provide resistance to what's taking place, and you can essentially fool them, into placing their trust into a figure which won't serve them, then you co-opt that resistance.

23:04

And I find it astonishing that they're still using Trump for this purpose, because he was already president once. He did not drain the swamp. He did not deliver on most of his promises. OK, he didn't start any major new wars, which makes a change for a US president.

23:22

But even today, he still styles himself as the father of the vaccine. Who would trust a figure who still styles themselves as the father of the vaccine after millions of people worldwide have been harmed, injured, killed by these things? It's quite extraordinary. It really is.

23:41

I totally agree. And like you said, I think there is a professional wrestling going on right now. And there is a promoter behind us, behind them actually, who control from behind the scene. And that's why you call the Omni War. And when we hear about the Omni War concept, it really frightens us.

24:03

Do you have like any, can you give us a kind of hope to survive from this Omni War?

24:11

Well, yes, absolutely. And for anyone who either takes out a paid subscription to Solari or to my Substack, you can download the entire OmniWall report that came out about two weeks ago. And at the end of that report, I focus on precisely that, the kind of the possibilities for resistance and the reasons for hope. Now,

24:35

the reasons for hope begin with numbers, but the transnational ruling class that has initiated this undeclared omni war against the rest of humanity is numerically minuscule. And when you look at the economic data around inequality, for example, you find some truly extraordinary figures. For example, between 1995 and 2021, of all of the wealth growth globally,

25:08

38% of that growth was creamed off by the richest 1% of the population. So think about that. What is that? A quarter of a century? 30 years? And... of all of that wealth that was generated well over a third goes to just one percent of the global population um so we're seeing a profound inequality uh globally um

25:39

and since 1950 the global population meanwhile has risen from a reported 1.5 billion to 8 billion today. So, as Case van der Peel has argued in his book, States of Emergency, it becomes increasingly difficult to keep the global population in check when it's getting bigger and bigger and bigger,

26:00

and also increasingly restive as a result of decades of neoliberal economics and the inequalities that that has generated. And we saw the signs of this in 2019 when there were major protests worldwide in 35 different countries. So you can think back to the Yellow Vest in France and the protests in Hong Kong

26:21

and India and Chile and elsewhere. This was a major historical moment. So it's evident that we have the numbers on our side. The only means that the powers that be have of winning this war are technological. Klaus Schwab even alludes to that in his book, COVID-19, The Great Reset.

26:47

He says it's possible that COVID might tip the balance in favour of labour against capital, but... For technological reasons, it's unlikely that this will happen. I found that a very interesting sentence in his book because he's actually reading the COVID situation in class terms and So the powers that be know how to apply Marxist analysis.

27:16

And he's indicating there that the ruling class, as a numerical minority, is reliant on technological means of control. So therefore, once the population recognises what's happening here and starts to understand that smart technologies are not there for your benefit, they're not there for your convenience, that's not their fundamental purpose.

27:44

Of course, that's how it's being sold to you. And of course, everybody... It likes to enjoy the consumer benefits that arise from those technologies. But there is a deeper agenda here that once those technologies go under the skin, which is a phrase that Schwab used in 2016 and his protegee Yuval Noah Harari,

28:06

again used in March 2020 as the COVID operation was beginning, he talked about surveillance going under the skin. And again, when we link that back to what we were talking about earlier in terms of the intracorporeal nanonetworks and so on, once you realize what the overall direction of travel is regarding these so-called smart technologies,

28:29

Then what's required is mass non-compliance with those technologies and potentially a disabling of those technologies, at least a refusal to use them. And so as this architecture is being kind of erected all around us with, I don't know, the 5G masks, the masks, sorry, and the attempts at injecting everyone, and the threats of the next pandemic already.

28:59

So, you know, they're already kind of laying the groundwork for more of this stuff to come. There has to be mass pushback against this and mass non-compliance. Similarly, with the central bank digital currency agenda, That will put an end to money as a free means of transaction and exchange.

29:21

And it will replace it with an all-digital financial system in which the state, the central bank, can... impose restrictions on how you spend your money. And again, the head of the Bank for International Settlements, Augustin Carstens, said this explicitly in 2020, that the bank will be able to impose conditionalities regarding, for instance, where you spend your money,

29:44

what you spend your money on, when you spend your money. It might expire after a certain date. And of course, in the extreme, could just turn your ability to transact off, could just turn your digital wallet off. So this is a totalitarian control system in the financial sphere.

30:02

So again, it's imperative that there is mass pushback against this. Now, other reasons for hope include the fact that what the technocrats are trying to do here globally is so atrocious and so appalling and so against everybody's interests that that once people start to realise what's happening, then anybody who values their country, patriotism, religion, freedom, liberty,

30:30

all of the good things in life, anything that is good, true and beautiful... Well, all of that's now under attack by technocracy. So there is reason to think there's going to be enormous pushback against this. And furthermore, because this is an omni-war that is being waged against all of us, albeit in as clandestine a manner as possible,

30:53

and it's being waged across every area of human life, then what that means is that it is certain that each and every one of us will be able to resist in one way or another. And again, at that point, it's imperative that each and every one of us essentially looks into our heart and

31:13

asks what it is that we can do, because we all have our different skills together. and our different talents in life. And so once the public becomes aware of what's happening, then the possibilities for resistance are actually enormous. The final thing that I'll add on this, as I say,

31:35

there's a whole list of practical measures that people can take listed in my Omniwar report. But what we need above all is a positive vision for the future. That seems to be missing at this stage. We've been so surrounded by dread and the kind of WEF type narrative regarding eating Z-bugs and owning nothing and being happy. And,

32:05

you know, the idea that the future is just this kind of endless series of crises that we're just lurching from, you know, financial crisis to terrorism to disease, you know, to goodness knows what will come next, cybercrime or water shortages or whatever. You know, we have to escape the mindset that that is fundamentally important.

32:25

how the world is. That's not how the world is. That is the psychological operations that are being run against the population. And so if we could come up with a more positive vision for the future, that people could actually rally around that might involve, for example, equitable distribution of wealth and a serious reduction in the influence of

32:53

organisations like the Rockefeller Foundation and the intelligence agencies, all of which are clearly now arrayed against the interests of humanity. If we can come up with a vision of our own, I think that would be a profoundly powerful thing.

33:10

Thank you for the very positive and good narrative. Going back to the real situation a little bit, you mentioned at the Cerati report about Dr. James Giordano's, the brain is a battlefield of the future. Could you help us to understand what your finding is about this?

33:36

Yes. So in a sense, you can almost go back to Winston Churchill in the 1940s. And he said that the next empires will be empires of the mind. So in other words, the nature of warfare has been changing quite profoundly now. for a good 80 years at least.

34:00

And the history of psychological operations and psychological warfare is now a good century or so old. And I contend in my COVID book that a kind of low-intensity warfare has been waged against Western populations for many decades in the form of False flag terrorism. The model was Operation Gladio in Italy.

34:31

It was subsequently expanded globally following 9-11 through the global terror wars. And as much as anything else, this was psychological warfare. intended to persuade innocent civilians that they are under threat and should therefore cede their liberties to the government. And it paves the way for increasing forms of authoritarianism and the hollowing out of liberal democracy.

35:00

So in a sense, that's psychological warfare. has been going on for a very long time. Now, when we get to the 21st century and we start to consider some of the military intelligence literature that I referred to earlier and some of the attempts to get technologies inside the body... then this whole thing moves to the next level.

35:27

Because what they're talking about now is syringe-injectable neural nanotechnologies. So what this is is neural nets which can attach to the brain, which can be injected into the body through a

syringe. Again, this sounds like sci-fi to many people, but again, read my OmniWall report, watch the OmniWall presentation. All of the receipts are in there.

35:54

There is quite a lot of scientific literature over the last 10 or 15 years, which shows that this is possible. Now, to come back to Giordano. He gave two, by now, quite well-known presentations to the cadets at West Point, one in 2017 and one in 2018. And in many ways, I think he really gave the game away.

36:23

he described, he said, the brain will be the battlescape of 21st century warfare. So what he's saying there is that warfare will no longer resemble what it did in the 20th century. So fundamentally, you know, we're not looking at... Tanks, planes, aircraft carriers, bombs, guns and all the rest of it.

36:49

Of course, all of that continues, you know, in one form. But there's a kind of a next level of warfare, which is opening up, which involves the brain directly. Now, again, given that those technologies are there now, and given that we know that nanotechnologies can be injected through a syringe,

37:12

which are capable of crossing the blood-brain barrier and self-assembling I mean, you know, this is really frightening stuff. I mean, a longstanding problem in medicine has been the blood brain barrier and the fact that nothing crosses it. But these nanotechnologies are so small that they can, in fact, cross it and get into the brain itself.

37:37

Giordano also talked in those two presentations. He didn't use a term, but smart dust, essentially. He talked about particles that are so small that they could just come through the air and there'd be nothing you can do about it because they're so small. They'll get in through your ears, mouth, nose, eyes, wherever.

38:00

and make their way into your body. Now, again, if we're dealing with potential self-assembling nanotechnologies, that poses quite a frightening proposition. And I'm particularly concerned at the moment, as are many others in the UK and elsewhere, by the increasing number of lines in the sky, which we have witnessed, particularly since, say, 2021, because, of course,

38:30

all the air travel was closed for a time in 2020. And after those clear skies of 2020... I mean, there's so much of this that you can find on social media, the evidence of very unnatural formations in the sky. I watched it for myself in real time just last week as I was sitting right here

38:50

looking out of my window and was absolutely shocked because I live in a fairly rural part of the country. My hypothesis is that if spraying is going on, it's mostly over the cities. But as I watched out of my window, in the space of half an hour, two dozen trails were formed in a crisscross pattern,

39:10

horizontally and vertically. And this was a day where the weather forecast was for clear blue skies where I live. And within about 45 minutes, the sky, I couldn't see the blue anymore. There was just this kind of milky white. And I'm thinking, what on earth have I just witnessed? What was that?

Furthermore, as I was watching this,

39:32

I went on a website called Flight Radar, which tracks the commercial aircraft. And as I was watching these lines form in the sky, I was checking on Flight Radar to try and work out which flights these were. And I could only find about three or four credible aircraft on Flight Radar that could have been involved in this.

39:54

which to me suggests that there were quite a large number of military craft, probably, or at least unmarked or unregistered craft, potentially with transponders off, I don't know, all doing this in a very deliberate and coordinated manner. Again, to many people, that might sound beyond the realm

of probability.

40:14

But if you can actually use your own eyes and look at evidence for yourself, rather than relying on what the media tells you, you can see these things taking place. So I don't know what the purpose of all of this is, but I do know, coming back to Giordano, that he's talked quite openly about about nanotechnologies,

40:38

about smart dust, about the brain being the battlefield for the 21st century. And he does attempt to address ethical considerations in those presentations, but all he really has to say is, Well, the bad guys would do that, you know, Russia and China and so on. They might do this, but we would never do such a thing.

41:06

And of course, this just doesn't hold water. I mean, this is the kind of argument that's been used since the Cold War. When you think back to nuclear weapons, for example, we have to develop a massive stockpile of nuclear weapons because if we don't, the Soviets will and they'll blow us up.

41:23

Or we have to develop biological weapons because if we don't, the Soviets will and blah, blah, blah. And here we are again that, oh, this new technology exists. We have to develop it and be at the forefront of it, potentially for maybe finding a solution or an antidote or something were it ever used against us.

41:43

But we know from history that actually it's the authorities themselves that are the bad guys. We have evidence of decades of experimentation, military experimentation on civilians, spraying civilians with chemicals, for example. I get into all of this in my book, Wall Street, The Nazis and the Crimes of the Deep State.

42:02

So there's no reason to trust the authorities in that regard. So I think that Giordano has inadvertently done us a favour by revealing what some of these bigger agendas are. And the fact that these presentations were made in a military context and that he's telling recruits here that this is how things are shaping up,

42:26

this is strong evidence that we need to pay attention to.

42:29

That seminar was really amazing. I think that tells us a lot to understand from a scientific level. And how about like their agenda? There's an agenda 2030, many agenda from this ruling cross party. What do you think end game is?

42:53

Yes. So I think it's helpful to read Patrick Wood's work in this regard, because he argues, in my opinion, quite persuasively, that there are a whole set of terms used, such as Agenda 2030, Stakeholder Capitalism, Sustainability, and many others have been coined down the decades. But essentially, they all amount to the same thing, which is technocracy.

43:23

which is this replacement control system. So in terms of the 2030 agenda, it's very, very interesting. I mentioned previously that the timeline that was set out in some of that military intelligence literature regarding 2020, 2025 and 2030. It seems to me that that timeline may have been adhered to. So for example,

43:48

so this is referring now to the Dennis Bushnell presentation, PowerPoint presentation for NASA from 2001, which can be found online. And in it, he is trying to, so this was a presentation that was directed at a whole series of agencies, but intelligence agencies in particular. And he's trying to persuade his audience

44:12

that a whole raft of technologies that he is about to describe are, in his words, no pixie dust. In other words, they're not just imaginary. They're not just sci-fi. These are technologies which are either already in development, already in implementation, or there is a clear trajectory towards their development. And on the basis of those technologies,

44:38

he envisaged a future in which, for example, he said, when we have novel technologies like this, they tend to take about 15 years to develop. Well, that was at the turn of the century. 2015, we find both announcements in the media regarding smart dust and developed by IBM and other companies.

45:10

And we also find the Internet of BioNanoThings appearing with the famous Aki Yildiz paper of 2015. So just to get this right, Bushnell said it takes about 15 years to develop these technologies. 15 years later, they start to appear in the public domain. So that's interesting. Bushnell says in 2020, the IT bio nano era will begin.

45:36

2020, of course, was the year of COVID and the major psychological operation. Bushnell says that this will all lead to a new kind of virtual era. in which human minds are essentially starting to, I mean, this is the kind of Ray Kurzweil angle here, starting to connect with the cloud directly.

46:05

So again, in terms of kind of brain warfare, that's quite a scary possibility. And I hope that that's just the product of a wild imagination. Okay. But the thing that worries me is that the title of that NASA presentation, if memory serves, is Strategic Futures, Future Warfare, Circa 2025. Circa 2025.

46:35

We are now two months away from 2025. Now, if you accept that the rest of his timeline is looking pretty strong here, and that this wasn't the only document that had 2020 as a marker, I already mentioned, for example, the Proteus document. Or you could look at Bill Gates's decade of the vaccine started in 2010.

47:00

The last day of that decade was the 31st of December 2019, which was the same day that China reported the first cases of SARS-CoV-2 to the WHO. I mean, it's almost as though there is quite a literally a military grade timeline in place here.

47:17

So if that's the case, and I hope that I'm wrong about this, then 2025 is almost upon us. And he talks about that as when this future warfare will actually start to be enacted. And that to me is consistent, actually, with what I've already described about the psychological warfare merely being the prelude,

47:41

that potentially we could see much worse to come. So I'm very wary of these timelines because I think that this is fundamentally a military operation from start to finish. It's been planned in all of its different aspects from development of the relevant technologies through to the psychological warfare, through to the pandemic preparedness exercises,

48:04

also go back to the Operation Dark Winter exercise of 2001. All of this fits together. And what we're looking at is essentially a plan here going back almost 25 years now which is designed to subjugate humanity by moving it onto this technocratic model in which ultimately human bodies are connected to a technocratic control grid. Now,

48:30

as I say, that may sound wild to many people, but I think it's about time that we started taking these ideas seriously because we're running out of time now. If these timelines are correct, we need this mass resistance to start gathering force.

48:44

David, your expertise is really exciting for me because like she said in a solar report, it feels like you made us feel really good because people tell me that I'm just a conspiracy theorist. But now you made us to academic level. And I really love to hear about your findings. For example, I tried to

find your,

49:14

you mentioned about the George Cannon State Department mid 20th century, but I couldn't find it. Can you advise me about this?

49:24

Right, so this is something that I get into in my book, Wall Street, The Nazis and the Crimes of the Deep State. And it is, I think, chapter four of that book. And so what I do there in the middle of that book is to try and chart the emergence of what I call the transnational deep state.

49:45

It seems particularly obvious to me, at least, since 2020, that the way... power works in the world no longer has anything to do with liberal democracy or national sovereignty. These are outdated concepts. It's become very clear now that actually all governments, when required, act in lockstep at the behest of a transnational ruling class,

50:07

that the policies are formed at higher levels and are then passed down to be implemented by governments. So governments are actually quite low level in the power structure. They are merely the implementers. And so we have to ask, wow, where did all of that come from?

50:21

I mean, you know, it's been shocking to many people to see these things since 2020. And of course, it doesn't come out of nowhere. And of course, it's been deliberately incubated over the course of many decades. And so the argument that I make across my research is that the ruling classes of different countries

50:42

must have known for a very long time now, probably since World War II at least, that ultimately what will be required in order to maintain power and control is a global government, a single world state. And indeed, there were calls for a world state after 1945 in the wake of nuclear weapons being used, of course, in Japan.

51:08

And so the idea then was that these weapons are so dangerous that if we have a third world war between nation states using nuclear weapons, we're going to wipe out all of humanity. And so we have to have a world state of government to prevent this. However,

51:25

Realist thinkers understood at that time that a world state was simply not possible because in reality, what we have are all kinds of different communities at the local, regional and national levels. We have nothing like a single global community with a shared global consciousness or a sense of common purpose in tackling global problems.

51:53

Well, lo and behold, what do we find? 1968, major social unrest on both sides of the Iron Curtain. President de Gaulle actually fled France. The red flag actually flew over the citron plant in France for a time. I mean, extraordinary to think back now what precarious times these were.

52:14

And meanwhile, of course, there was the Prague Spring on the other side of the Iron Curtain. Well, following those events in 1968, what do we see pretty much immediately? The formation of the Club of Rome, the formation of the World Economic Forum, the formation of the Trilateral Commission, ideas about sustainability, plus the moon landings allegedly in 1969.

52:45

And what we find is that from that point on, there is very heavy promotion of the sense that the whole world actually faces certain key problems, be they to do with the environment, be they to do with overpopulation, and of course, more recently, be they to do with disease. And it's these global problems, alleged global problems,

53:07

that are being fed to us over and over and over again. Why? Because a global problem requires a

global solution and the implementation of ultimately a world state. And in some senses, we appear now almost de facto to be living in that kind of a world when this

53:28

transnational deep state can just get the governments to all acting lockstep as it did in 2020. So coming back to Kennan... Where did the infrastructure, the apparatus, the institutional elements of this come in? Because it has to be kind of real and concrete. And the case that I make in Wall Street,

53:53

the Nazis and the Crimes of the Deep State is that it largely goes back to the US national security apparatus in 1947, which but that it always, from the very beginning, had a hidden transnational dimension to it. And the easiest way of thinking about this perhaps is the formation of NATO in 1949.

54:20

And NATO is now known to have left behind a whole series of armies, hidden armies, secret armies, all across Europe in the event that the Soviets would invade Western Europe, which was never actually likely, if you know your history. But nevertheless, these secret armies were stationed all across Europe. And over time, their purpose morphed and changed.

54:49

And eventually, by the time we get to the 1970s and the 1980s, these so-called stay-behind armies are actually being used by NATO to carry out acts of false flag terrorism across Europe, but particularly in Italy. And this was all part of what they called the strategy of tension. So the idea is, and again, it's all on record,

55:14

it's all documented in my book, the idea is that you deliberately kill innocent civilians. Why? Because it puts the population in a state of fear and makes it more willing to surrender its liberties to the authorities. Those attacks were also blamed on left-wing groups.

55:33

So it was very useful at the time as a means of suppressing leftist resistance. And as I say, all of this has subsequently been globalized through the War on Terror, but the Operation Gladio network remained secret for the entire Cold War. I mean, this is mind-boggling, okay? So all of this was taking place under NATO auspices

56:00

the entire of the cold war and no one knew about it it first came to light in 1990 when revelation surfaced in Italy and what was extraordinary is how few senior politicians in Italy knew about it so even certain senior members of the government for example didn't know that this had been taking place in their country Yeah,

56:25

so I was just talking about Operation Gladio and the fact that it only came to light in the 1990s. When it did come to light, very few people in Italy, even senior politicians, knew about it. The Prime Minister of Italy did know about it,

56:42

and he is on record as actually saying that he was proud of the fact that it had been kept secret for all of these years. And so we're dealing with very clandestine networks here that clearly have affiliations with NATO. And in that regard, let's just quickly remember the revelations that surfaced two or three weeks ago in

57:03

the Netherlands, when I think, was it the former health minister or the senior health official in the Netherlands? came out and said basically that they had been expected to follow NATO protocols during COVID. I mean, this is amazing, isn't it, as this information continues to come out.

57:24

So yet more corroborating evidence that COVID was in fact a military operation. And so the significance of Kennan in all of this is that I place him at the heart of the origination of this apparatus. Many scholars kind of almost romanticise Kennan and, you know, they refer to him as,

you know, the godfather of containment, you know,

57:49

as if he's this kind of great figure and as if the Soviet Union was, you know, ever really going to, you know, come and attack Western Europe and all the rest of it. Actually, when you look at what Kennan wrote, he was essentially a fascist. He had fascist sympathies.

58:03

He was a sympathiser with the Schnurznik regime in Austria. He was very pro-authoritarian measures in post-war Japan. I mean, I discuss that case in the book. You know, he was very much against democracy. He thought that populations had to be taught harsh lessons and so on. So we know that those were really his kind of politics.

58:29

But Kennan was also involved, for instance, in the recruitment of former Nazis to the United States. And he was also at the heart of the formation of various of these kind of alphabet groups spaghetti kind of organisations with names like OPS and various others. There was a whole series of them in the 1940s.

58:53

And Kennan was strongly involved with all of those through his work in the State Department. So I think that at that time, Kennan was probably involved, speculation to some degree, but I provide evidence for it in the book, that he may also have been involved with the establishment of these NATO stay-behind armies.

59:17

So far from celebrating this figure as a great figure in Cold War history, I think he's probably got quite a lot to answer for. And of course, you know, he's not acting alone in this, but he's a very good example of how a lot of this, in my opinion, got started.

59:37

Thank you, David. Your information is amazing. And I think we cannot digest in one hour. So please, you know, come back to my show again. Could you do that?

59:51

I'd be very happy to. Yeah, very happy to. I've enjoyed it very much. And as you say, there's plenty to unpack here. And yeah, I mean, I'm glad that you found my information useful. It is quite terrifying and it's quite difficult to digest. Not just, you know, I say this about the COVID,

1:00:11

but not just at an intellectual level, because it's quite dense, but at an emotional level as well, because it kind of involves revisiting the COVID years and the kind of the traumatic elements of that. You know, trauma based mind control was part of the operation. It was traumatic. by design.

And so, you know,

1:00:29

I appreciate it's not easy to engage with my work, but happily ever more people seem willing to do so. So the truth might yet win out.

1:00:39

I think your study and your academic background will save human kind in the future.

1:00:47

I don't know about that, but what is interesting in terms of the kind of the academic aspect here is What I've been saying for several months now is essentially the failure of academia to grapple with any of this material for the 21st century is quite astounding because all of the literature is out there.

You know,

1:01:17

the evidence regarding the events of 9-11, the evidence regarding various false-like terror attacks since, the evidence regarding COVID, the evidence regarding the military projects around the bio-nanotechnology. All of this documentation has been out there for a long time. All of the evidence has been there for a long time. And academics, for various reasons...

1:01:42

have simply refused to look at it, to examine it and to explore its implications. And therefore, in my opinion, that profession bears great culpability for where we're at today, because a lot of the major problems in the world today and a lot of the structures of oppression are premised on lies and often big lies.

1:02:02

And so it should be the role of academics to expose those lies and to tell the truth. But when it comes to these kind of deep structural events, It simply hasn't happened, and I'll have a whole series coming out eventually on why that is and why academia has ended up this way.

1:02:22

But what I'm trying to do in my work is to actually, now that I've left the profession, bring the same level of academic analysis and rigor to some of these issues. And I think that makes me more or less unique in terms of doing this work, because no other academic,

1:02:42

would would attempt this and meanwhile many of the people who do realize that these things are taking place and that there are things terribly wrong in the world don't have the academic training to be able to write it up and present it in the way that

1:02:56

i have done so i see that as my contribution that's the kind of the the niche that i'm filling um My co-author, Lisa Johnson, offered a very useful way of looking at things in her presentation in the Omniwar Symposium. And part of what she was trying to do in her presentation was to persuade people

1:03:19

that if they think along these lines, they're not mad, they're not crazy. And that actually there are sound reasons for believing some of these ideas. And she spoke of, she said that the key thing is not necessarily to try and persuade people outright that you're right and they're wrong or anything like this,

1:03:42

but to persuade them of a key principle, which is a commitment to accuracy. And that's something which all reasonable people should be able to agree on, that whatever we may think about the world and how it is, we must agree that we have a commitment to accuracy when looking at evidence so

1:04:00

that we're willing to address that evidence and willing to look at it for what it is. Now, from there, that's how things start to become unraveled. When you start pulling at certain threads, then entire narratives start to fall to pieces. But that's quite a useful starting point, I think. So, yeah,

1:04:20

I'm hopeful that by bringing some of my academic skills to bear, I might actually make a positive difference to the world.

1:04:28

Thank you. It's really exciting to talk to you today. And do you have like a next book coming out in the future?

1:04:35

Yeah. So, so the, um, The idea originally this year was that I would write volume two of COVID-19 psychological operations and the war for technocracy, because it was always intended as a two volume project. That's why the book that's come out is volume one.

1:04:53

The fact that that book is 400 pages long tells you all you need to know about how complex and well planned the psychological operation was, because that's only half of it in that long book. Um, so the idea originally back in April was that I would spend this year writing volume two,

1:05:11

and I still intend to do that. Um, and it's still a priority of mine, but the way things have worked out instead is that, um, first of all, the, uh, Symposium and the Omniwar report, those opportunities arose. And then other things have been coming up along the way.

1:05:29

I did a presentation, for example, for targeted justice around electromagnetic warfare. And I've just been working recently on a series on the Richard D. Hall trial in the United Kingdom, which I think is urgent and important and deserves a write up. So other things have come along which have so far impeded my progress on volume two.

1:05:57

But I will certainly get that volume written. A lot of it is already written, in fact. And I'll be releasing more and more sections as and when they're ready on my substack. So, yeah, so that will certainly be coming out. But, yeah, I have so many different projects that I'm working on at the moment. It's crazy.

1:06:18

There is so much to say. But again, I try to only put the material out when it's in a properly written up and properly thought through format. So yeah. So for anyone wanting to follow up on that, the best place to follow my work is Substack. So dughes.substack.com.

1:06:38

Most of that information, most of my research since 2020 is available there for free. There's a few kind of paid access things like the OmniWall report because Soleri wanted that to stay behind a paywall for 12 months. So fair enough. But most of it is available for free. But nevertheless,

1:06:57

I would close by urging viewers that I did leave academia to be able to do this kind of work. Essentially, I sacrificed my career to do this kind of work. And therefore, I do need public support to continue doing this kind of work. It's quite a precarious existence, trying to produce this information,

1:07:18

quite difficult in many ways and quite stressful in many ways. So it's a challenging path, shall we say, that I've chosen. For at least this year we'll see what happens going forwards but I do very much need public support so if you're able to either take out a paid subscription to my

1:07:38

Substack or support me otherwise there's a list of options at davidahughes.net forward slash support at davidahughes.net forward slash support I would be most grateful because your support helps me to keep doing this kind of research

1:07:54

Okay. Well, definitely financially, you know, support you and please continue your good work for the future humankind. And thank you so much for your time and your work today.

1:08:05

Thank you very much. It's been a real pleasure to be with you.

1:08:07

Pleasure to talk to you today. Okay. And please contact again, touch base with you and we'll talk later.

1:08:14

By all means. Yeah. Feel free to reach out anytime.

1:08:17

Thank you, David. Appreciate it.

1:08:19

Okay.

1:08:20

Okay. Bye-bye now. Bye. Thank you.